arXiv:0803.0041v1 [math.FA] 1 Mar 2008

BANACH SPACES WITH RESPECT TO OPERATOR-VALUED NORMS

YUN-SU KIM

Abstract. We introduce the notions of $L(H)$-valued norms and Banach spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued norms. In particular, we introduce Hilbert spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued inner products. In addition, we provide several fundamental examples of Hilbert spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued inner products.

Introduction

A nonnegative real-valued function $\| \ \|$ defined on a vector space, called a *norm*, is a very fundamental and important project in analysis.

Let $(X, \| \ \|_X)$ be a normed linear space which is closed relative to the topology induced by the metric defined by its norm. In this paper, $\mathbf{B}(\neq \{0\})$ and $H(\neq \{0\})$ always denote a Banach space and a Hilbert space, respectively. A lot of results for scalar-valued functions have been extended to vector-valued ones. As an example, in [3], W. L. Paschke introduced an A-valued inner product for a C^*-algebra A.

In section 1, we provide the notion and fundamental properties of $L(H)$-valued norms on a normed linear space X by using positive operators. In theorem 1.6, we characterize $L(H)$-valued norms preserving Cauchy sequences.

In section 2, we introduce Banach spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued norms, and in Theorem 2.4, we characterize a convergent sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in X with respect to the norm metric defined by an $L(H)$-valued norm F on X.

We discuss a direct sum of Banach spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued norms, and prove that it is also a Banach space with respect to an $L(H)$-valued norm (Theorem 2.7). Furthermore, for a Banach space $\mathbf{B}$ with respect to an operator-valued norm $F : \mathbf{B} \to L(H)$, we introduce the notion of $L(H)$-dual space of $\mathbf{B}$, denoted by $\mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}$, and show that there is a natural $L(H)$-valued norm, called F_a, on the quotient space $\mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^{\perp}$ making it into a Banach space with respect to F_a, where $M_a^{\perp} = \{\phi \in \mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)} : \phi(a) = 0\}(a \in \mathbf{B} \setminus \{0\})$ (Theorem 2.10).

Key words and phrases. Operator-valued norms; $L(H)$-valued norms; $L(H)$-valued norms preserving Cauchy sequences; Banach spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued norms; Hilbert spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued inner products .

In section 3, we provide a few of example of Hilbert spaces with respect to $L(H)$-valued inner product.

As a fundamental example, every Hilbert $L(H)$-module ([1]) is a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(H)$-valued inner product. In this paper, we discuss about Hilbert spaces with respect to an $L(H)$-valued inner product, instead of Hilbert modules.

In theorem 3.6, we show that any Hilbert space is a Hilbert space with respect to $L(\mathbb{C})$-valued inner product, and, in theorem 3.8, L^∞ is also a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(L^2)$-valued inner product.

Furthermore, in theorem 3.11, we prove that $C(Y)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(L^2(\mu))$-valued inner product where (Y, Ω, μ) is a σ-finite measure space and $L^2(Y, \Omega, \mu) = L^2(\mu)$.

1. $L(H)$-valued Norms

1.1. **$L(H)$-valued Norms.** Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of complex numbers and $L(\mathbf{B})$ denote the set of bounded operators on a Banach space $\mathbf{B}$. For $T \in L(\mathbf{B})$, the norm of T is defined by $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|$.

Let $(X, \| \ \|_X)$ be a normed linear space which is closed relative to the topology induced by the metric defined by its norm.

We introduce the notion of $L(H)$-valued norms on a normed linear space X. To introduce the notion of $L(H)$-valued norms on X, we use positive operators.

Throughout this paper, H will denote a nontrivial Hilbert space and for any vectors h_1 and h_2 in H, (h_1, h_2) denotes the inner product of h_1 and h_2.

Definition 1.1. (i) If a function $F : X \to L(H)$ has the following properties :

(1) For any $x \in X$, $F(x) \geq 0$, i.e. $F(x)$ is a positive operator.
(2) (Triangle Inequality) $F(x + y) \leq F(x) + F(y)$ for any x and y in X.
(3) $F(\lambda x) = |\lambda| F(x)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
(4) $F(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,

then F is said to be an $L(H)$-*valued norm* defined on X, and (X, F) is said to be $L(H)$-*valued normed linear space*.

(ii) If $\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|F(x)\| < \infty$, then F is said to be *bounded*.

(iii) An $L(H)$-*valued metric* on a set X is a function $d : X \times X \to L(H)$ such that for all x, y and z in X,

(1) $d(x, y)$ is a positive operator,
(2) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
(3) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, and
(4) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle in the complex plane. As an example of such projects, define $F : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \to L(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ by

$$F(g) = m_g,$$

where $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ is the Lebesgue space with respect to the Lebesgue measure μ on $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\mu(\mathbb{T}) = 1$, and $m_g : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the bounded operator defined by

$$m_g(f) = |g| \cdot f, \tag{1.1}$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then clearly, F is an $L(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$-valued norm on $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. This multiplication operator m_g is a fundamental example.

If $(X; F)$ is an $L(H)$-valued normed vector space, the function $d(x, y) = F(x - y)$ is an $L(H)$-valued metric on X.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $F : H \to L(H)$ be an $L(H)$-valued norm on H and $T \in L(H)$ be an injective operator.*

Then $F \circ T$ is an $L(H)$-valued norm on H.

Proof. Let $G = F \circ T$ and $h \in H$ be given. Since $F(Th)$ is a positive operator, $F(Th) = S^*S$ for some $S \in L(H)$. Then

$$(G(h)k, k) = \|Sk\|^2 \geq 0 \text{ for } k \in K.$$

Thus $G(h) \geq 0$ for any $h \in H$.

Since T is linear and F is an $L(H)$-valued norm on H, $G(h+k) = F(Th+Tk) \leq F(Th) + F(Tk) = G(h) + G(k)$ for h and k in H, and $G(\lambda h) = F(\lambda Th) = |\lambda| G(h)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $G(x) = 0$ for some $x \in H$. Then $F(Tx) = 0$ and so $Tx = 0$, since F is an $L(H)$-valued norm. The injectivity of T implies that $x = 0$. Conversely, if $x = 0$, then clearly $G(x) = 0$. Therefore, $G = F \circ T$ is an $L(H)$-valued norm.

□

Proposition 1.3. *Let $F : X \to L(H)$ be an $L(H)$-valued norm on X. Then*

1. *$\|F(x + y)\| \leq \|F(x)\| + \|F(y)\|$ for any x and y in X.*
2. *$\|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq \|F(x - y)\|$ for any x and y in X.*

Proof. (1) For any x and y in X, by triangle inequality, $0 \leq F(x + y) \leq F(x) + F(y)$. It follows that

$$\|F(x + y)\| \leq \|F(x) + F(y)\| \leq \|F(x)\| + \|F(y)\|.$$

(2) By triangle inequality, for any x and y in X,

$$F(x) \leq F(x - y) + F(y) \text{ or } F(x) - F(y) \leq F(x - y).$$

Thus for any $h \in H$,

$$((F(x) - F(y))h, h) \leq (F(x-y)h, h). \tag{1.2}$$

Similarly, we have $F(y) - F(x) \leq F(y-x) = |-1|F(x-y) = F(x-y)$. Thus for any $h \in H$,

$$((F(y) - F(x))h, h) \leq (F(x-y)h, h). \tag{1.3}$$

Inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) imply that

$$|((F(x) - F(y))h, h)| \leq |(F(x-y)h, h)|. \tag{1.4}$$

Since $F(x)$ and $F(y)$ are positive operators, by inequality (1.4), we conclude that

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq \|F(x-y)\|.$$

□

Proposition 1.4. *Let $F : X \to L(H)$ be an $L(H)$-valued norm on X. Then F is continuous at 0 if and only if F is a continuous function on X.*

Proof. Suppose that F is continuous at 0. Let $x \in X$ and $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a net in X converging to x. By Proposition 1.3, for any $\alpha \in A$,

$$\|F(x_\alpha) - F(x)\| \leq \|F(x_\alpha - x)\|. \tag{1.5}$$

Since F is continuous at 0 and $F(0) = 0$, (1.5) implies that $\{F(x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ converges to $F(x)$. Since $x \in X$ is an arbitrary point in X, we conclude that F is continuous on X. The converse is clear. □

1.2. **$L(H)$-valued Norms Preserving Cauchy Sequences.** In Section 1.1, we provided an $L(H)$-valued norm and, in this section, we provide a notion of $L(H)$-valued norms preserving Cauchy sequences.

Definition 1.5. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence in X and $F : X \to L(H)$ be an $L(H)$-valued norm on X.

If $\{F(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $L(H)$, then F is said to be an $L(H)$-valued norm *preserving Cauchy sequences*.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $F : X \to L(H)$ be a bounded $L(H)$-valued norm on X. Then, F preserves Cauchy sequences if and only if F is continuous at 0.*

Proof. Suppose that F preserves Cauchy sequences and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since F preserves Cauchy sequences, $\{F(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is also a Cauchy sequence. Because $L(H)$ is a Banach space, there is an operator $T \in L(H)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n) = T. \tag{1.7}$$

If $A = \{n : x_n = 0\}$ is infinite, then for $n_k \in A$ $(k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} F(x_{n_k}) = F(0) = 0$$

and so by equation (1.7), $T = 0$.

If $A = \{n : x_n = 0\}$ is not infinite, then there is a nonzero subsequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} F(y_n) = T. \tag{1.8}$$

By equation (1.8),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|F(y_n)\| = \|T\|. \tag{1.9}$$

Let $M_F = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|F(x)\| (< \infty)$ (Note that F is bounded). By property (3) of Definition 1.1 and equation (1.9), $\|T\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|y_n\|_X \left\|F(\frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|_X})\right\| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|y_n\|_X \cdot M_F = 0$.

It follows that

$$\|T\| = 0$$

and so $T = 0$. Thus $T \equiv 0$ whether A is infinite or not.
By equation (1.7), $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(x_n) = 0 = F(0)$ which proves that F is continuous at 0.

Conversely, suppose that F is continuous at 0. By Proposition 1.3 (2), it is easy to see that F preserves Cauchy sequences. □

From Proposition 1.4, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 1.7. *Let $F : X \to L(H)$ be a bounded $L(H)$-valued norm on X. Then, F preserves Cauchy sequences if and only if F is continuous on X.*

2. Banach Spaces with respect to $L(H)$-Valued Norms

In this paper, (X, F) always denote an $L(H)$-valued normed linear space.

Definition 2.1. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in X is said to be a *Cauchy sequence with respect to F* if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$, we have

$$F(x_n - x_m) \leq \epsilon I_H \tag{2.1}$$

where I_H is the identity operator on H. If $(X; F)$ is a $L(H)$-valued normed vector space, then the function $d(x, y) = F(x - y)$ is a $L(H)$-valued metric on X.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in an $L(H)$-valued normed linear space X. Then the following statements are equivalent;*

(a) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(b) For every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$, we have $\|F(x_n - x_m)\| \leq \epsilon$.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ Since $F(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in X$,

$$\|F(x)\| = \mathtt{sup}\{(F(x)h, h); h \in H, \|h\| = 1\}. \tag{2.2}$$

If $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$, the inequality (2.1) is true. It follows that $(F(x_n - x_m)h, h) \leq \epsilon(I_H h, h)$ for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$. By equation (2.2), $\|F(x_n - x_m)\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ If (b) is true, by equation (2.2),

$$(F(x_n - x_m)h, h) \leq \epsilon(I_H h, h),$$

for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$ and $\|h\| = 1$. It follows that $F(x_n - x_m) \leq \epsilon I_H$ for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$.

□

Definition 2.3. (a) X is said to be *complete with respect to* F if every Cauchy sequence (with respect to F) $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in X converges to an element x of X with respect to the norm metric defined by F, that is, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$F(x_n - x) \leq \epsilon I_H$$

for any $n \geq N(\epsilon)$.

(b) A complex linear space X with an $L(H)$-valued norm $F : X \to L(H)$ such that X is complete with respect to F is called a *Banach space with respect to* F.

By the same proof as Proposition 2.2, we have the following useful result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in an $L(H)$-valued normed linear space X. Then the following statements are equivalent;*

(a) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to an element x of X with respect to the norm metric defined by F.

(b) For a given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\|F(x_n - x)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for any $n \geq N(\epsilon)$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, F) be an $L(H)$-valued normed linear space.*

If a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to an element x of X with respect to the norm metric defined by F, then the sequence $\{F(x_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of operators converges to $F(x)$.

Proof. It is clear because of Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 2.4. □

We now discuss a direct sum of Banach spaces with a natural operator-valued norm.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $F_i(i = 1, 2)$ be an $L(H)$-valued norm defined on $X_i(i = 1, 2,$ respectively). If $F_1 + F_2$ is a function on the algebraic direct sum $X_1 \oplus X_2$ defined by*

$$(F_1 + F_2)(x_1 \oplus x_2) = F_1(x_1) + F_2(x_2), \tag{2.3}$$

then $(X_1 \oplus X_2, F_1 + F_2)$ is an $L(H)$-valued normed linear space.

Proof. Clearly, $(F_1 + F_2)(x_1 \oplus x_2) \geq 0$ for any $x_1 \oplus x_2 \in X_1 \oplus X_2$.

For $x_1 \oplus x_2,\ y_1 \oplus y_2 \in X_1 \oplus X_2$, $(F_1 + F_2)(x_1 \oplus x_2 + y_1 \oplus y_2) = F_1(x_1 + y_1) + F_2(x_2 + y_2) \leq F_1(x_1) + F_1(y_1) + F_2(x_2) + F_2(y_2) = (F_1 + F_2)(x_1 \oplus x_2) + (F_1 + F_2)(y_1 \oplus y_2)$, that is, triangle inequality holds.

Next, $(F_1 + F_2)(\lambda(x_1 \oplus x_2)) = |\lambda|(F_1 + F_2)(x_1 \oplus x_2)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Finally, $(F_1 + F_2)(x_1 \oplus x_2) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ if and only if $x_1 \oplus x_2 = 0$.

□

Theorem 2.7. *Let $X_i(i = 1, 2)$ be a Banach space with respect to $F_i : X_i \to L(H)$. Then $X_1 \oplus X_2$ is a Banach space with respect to $F_1 + F_2$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n \oplus y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(X_1 \oplus X_2, F_1 + F_2)$. Then, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$(F_1 + F_2)(x_n \oplus y_n - x_m \oplus y_m) \leq \epsilon I_H \tag{2.4}$$

for any $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$. Since $(F_1 + F_2)(x_n \oplus y_n - x_m \oplus y_m) = F_1(x_n - x_m) + F_2(y_n - y_m)$,

$$F_1(x_n - x_m) \leq \epsilon I_H \ \texttt{and}\ F_2(y_n - y_m) \leq \epsilon I_H \tag{2.5}$$

for any $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$.

Thus, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy sequences in X_1 and X_2, respectively. Since $X_i(i = 1, 2)$ is a Banach space with respect to F_i, there are $x(\in X_1)$ and $y(\in X_2)$ such that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to x and y with respect to F_1 and F_2, respectively. Then, clearly, $\{x_n \oplus y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $x \oplus y$ with respect to $F_1 + F_2$.

□

We next define a norm on the space of linear functions from a Banach space (X, F) to $L(H)$.

Definition 2.8. Let X be a Banach space with respect to $F : X \to L(H)$.

(a) If $g : X \to L(H)$ is linear and

$$\|g\|_F = \sup\{\frac{\|g(x)\|}{\|F(x)\|}; x \in X \setminus \{0\}\} < \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

then g is said to be *bounded with respect to* F.

(b) The set $X^*_{L(H)} = \{g : X \to L(H) : g \ \texttt{is linear and}\ \|g\|_F < \infty\}$ is said to be $L(H)$-*dual space of* X.

Note that the equation (2.6) defines a (scalar-valued) norm on the $L(H)$-dual space $X^*_{L(H)}$.

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a Banach space with respect to $F : \mathbf{B} \to L(H)$, and $a \in \mathbf{B} \setminus \{0\}$.

If $M_a^\perp = \{\phi \in \mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)} : \phi(a) = 0\}$, then we want to show that there is a natural $L(H)$-valued norm, called F_a(`equation` (2.7)), on the quotient space $\mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp$ making it into a Banach space with respect to F_a.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\boldsymbol{B}$ be a Banach space with respect to $F : \boldsymbol{B} \to L(H)$, and $a \in \boldsymbol{B} \setminus \{0\}$.*

If $M_a^\perp = \{\phi \in \boldsymbol{B}^*_{L(H)} : \phi(a) = 0\}$, then $(\boldsymbol{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp, F_a)$ is an $L(H)$-valued normed space where $F_a : \boldsymbol{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp \to L(H)$ is defined by

$$F_a(\varphi) = \|\varphi(a)\|\, F(a). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. If $\phi = \psi \in \mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp$, then $\phi(a) = \psi(a)$. Thus, F_a is well-defined. Clearly, $F_a(\varphi) \geq 0$ for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp$, and $F_a(\lambda\varphi) = |\lambda| F_a(\varphi)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For φ and ψ in $\mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp$,

$$F_a(\varphi + \psi) \leq \|\varphi(a)\|\, F(a) + \|\psi(a)\|\, F(a) = F_a(\varphi) + F_a(\psi).$$

Finally, if $F_a(\varphi) = 0$, then $\varphi(a) = 0$ or $F(a) = 0$. If $\varphi(a) = 0$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$ in $\mathbf{B}^*/M_a^\perp$. Since F is an $L(H)$-valued norm, if $F(a) = 0$, then $a = 0$ which contradict our assumption. Conversely, if $\varphi = 0$ in $\mathbf{B}^*/M_a^\perp$, then clearly, $F_a(\varphi) = 0$.

□

Theorem 2.10. *In the same assumption as Proposition 2.9, $\boldsymbol{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp$ is a Banach space with respect to the operator-valued norm $F_a : \boldsymbol{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp \to L(H)$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence with respect to F_a in $\mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp$.

Then, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that for any $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$,

$$\|F_a(\varphi_n - \varphi_m)\|_{L(H)} = \|\varphi_n(a) - \varphi_m(a)\|\, \|F(a)\|_{L(H)} \leq \epsilon. \tag{2.8}$$

Since $F(a) \neq 0$ (Note that $a \neq 0$), equation (2.8) implies that there is an operator $T_a \in L(H)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n(a) = T_a$. Since there exists $\varphi \in B^*_{L(H)}$ such that $\varphi(a) = T_a$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_a(\varphi_n - \varphi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(a) - \varphi(a)\|\, F(a) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Thus, the Cauchy sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $\mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp$ converges to an element $\varphi (\in \mathbf{B}^*_{L(H)}/M_a^\perp)$ with respect to the norm metric defined by F_a.

□

3. Hilbert Spaces with respect to $L(H)$-Valued Inner Product

3.1. **An $L(H)$-Valued Inner Product.** In [4], W. L. Paschke introduced an operator-valued inner product. Recall that for any operator T in $L(H)$, the adjoint of T, denoted T^* is the unique operator on H satisfying $(Tf, g) = (f, T^*g)$ for f and g in H.

Definition 3.1. An *$L(H)$-valued inner product* on a complex linear space X is a conjugate-bilinear map $\varphi : X \times X \to L(H)$ such that:

(1) $\varphi(x, x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in X$;
(2) $\varphi(x, x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
(3) $\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(y, x)^*$ for any $x, y \in X$.

3.2. **Hilbert Space with respect to an $L(H)$-Valued Inner Product.** As scalar-valued cases, we provide the notion of a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(H)$-valued inner product.

Let X be a complex linear space with an $L(H)$-valued inner product $\varphi : X \times X \to L(H)$. Then a function $F_\varphi : X \to L(H)$, defined by

$$F_\varphi(x) = [\varphi(x, x)]^{1/2} \texttt{ for } x \in X, \tag{3.1}$$

could not be an $L(H)$-valued norm. Thus, we need an assumption to provide a notion of a *Hilbert Space with respect to* an *$L(H)$-Valued Inner Product*.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a complex linear space with an $L(H)$-valued inner product $\varphi : X \times X \to L(H)$. If a function $F_\varphi : X \to L(H)$ defined by $F_\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, x)^{1/2} (x \in X)$ is an $L(H)$-valued norm, and X is complete with respect to F_φ, then X is called a *Hilbert space with respect to φ*.

As an example, every Hilbert $L(H)$-module ([1]) is a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(H)$-valued inner product. In this paper, we discuss about Hilbert spaces with respect to an $L(H)$-valued inner product, instead of Hilbert modules.

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is useful in the study of (scalar-valued) inner product spaces. To extend the version of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we define the notion of *bounded $L(H)$-valued inner product*.

Definition 3.3. Let $\varphi : X \times X \to L(H)$ be an $L(H)$-valued inner product, and $F_\varphi : X \to L(H)$ be an $L(H)$-valued norm defined by equation (3.1).

(a) If there exists a positive number M such that for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\|\varphi(x, y)\| \leq M \|F_\varphi(x)\| \|F_\varphi(y)\|, \tag{3.2}$$

then φ is said to be a *bounded $L(H)$-valued inner product*.

(b) If φ is bounded, then $\|\varphi\|_{F_\varphi} = \sup\{\frac{\|\varphi(x,y)\|}{\|F_\varphi(x)\| \|F_\varphi(y)\|} : x(\neq 0), y(\neq 0) \in X\}$ is said to be a *norm of φ with respect to F_φ*.

Since $F_\varphi(x) \geq 0$, $\left\|F_\varphi(x)^2\right\| = \|F_\varphi(x)\|^2 = \|\varphi(x, x)\|$. It follows the following lemma;

Lemma 3.4. *If (X, φ) is a bounded $L(H)$-valued inner product space, then $\|\varphi\|_{F_\varphi} \geq 1$.*

For given sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in an $L(H)$-valued inner product space (X, φ) with limits x and y (with respect to the norm metric defined by F_φ defined in equation (3.1)), by Corollary 2.5, $\sup\{\|F_\varphi(x_n)\| : n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\sup\{\|F_\varphi(y_n)\| : n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ are finite. Thus, if φ is bounded, then, by Theorem 2.4 and (3.2), $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(x_n, y_n) = \varphi(x, y)$.

In Definition 2.8, we provided the notion of $L(H)$-dual spaces of a Banach space X with respect to an operator-valued norm. We now introduce an example of an element in $X^*_{L(H)}$. Let (X, φ) be a bounded $L(H)$-valued inner product space, and $\varphi_y : X \to L(H)$ be a linear map defined by $\varphi_y(x) = \varphi(x, y)$. Then $\|\varphi_y\|_{F_\varphi} = \sup\{\frac{\|\varphi(x,y)\|}{\|F_\varphi(x)\|} : x \in X \setminus \{0\}\} \leq \|\varphi\|_F \|F_\varphi(y)\|$, that is, $\|\varphi_y\|_F$ is finite.

3.3. **Examples of Hilbert Spaces with respect to Operator-Valued Inner Products.** In this section, we provide several examples of Hilbert Spaces with respect to Operator-Valued Inner Products.

We state the definition of *$L(H)$-dual with respect to $L(H)$-valued inner product*, when a Hilbert space X with respect to $\varphi : X \times X \to L(H)$ is also a (scalar-valued) Hilbert space.

Definition 3.5. Let H be a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(H)$-valued inner product $\varphi : H \times H \to L(H)$.

The set $H^*_{L(H)}$ consisting of a linear map $g : H \to L(H)$ such that

(a) $\|g\|_{F_\varphi} < \infty$, and

(b) $\phi(h)\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(\phi(h)x, y)$,

is said to be *$L(H)$-dual space of H* with respect to φ.

It will be denoted by $(H^*_{L(H)}, \varphi)$.

By the Riesze representation theorem, we can identify the space $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers with $L(\mathbb{C})$, by the map $g \to \psi_g$ for g in $\mathbb{C}$, where $\psi_g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear function defined by

$$\psi_g(f) = (f, g). \tag{3.3}$$

If $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to L(\mathbb{C})$ is a conjugate-bilinear map defined by

$$\varphi(a, b) = \psi_{a\overline{b}} \tag{3.4}$$

for a and b in $\mathbb{C}$. The space $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers is a Hilbert space with respect to φ defined in equation (3.4). We can see easily that the $L(\mathbb{C})$-dual space of $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to φ is $L(\mathbb{C})$. As the first example,

Theorem 3.6. *Let H be a Hilbert space, and $\phi : H \times H \to L(\mathbb{C})$ be a conjugate-bilinear map defined by*

$$\phi(a, b) = \psi_{(a,b)} \tag{3.5}$$

for a and b in H.

Then, H is a Hilbert space with respect to ϕ.

Proof. Clearly, ϕ is a bounded $L(\mathbb{C})$-valued inner product. We now show that a function $F_\phi : H \to L(\mathbb{C})$ is an $L(\mathbb{C})$-valued norm.

Since $F_\phi(f) = \psi_{|f|}$,

$$(\psi_{|f|}z, z) = |f||z|^2 \geq 0 \text{ for any } z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.6}$$

Clearly, $F_\phi(f+g) \leq F_\phi(f) + F_\phi(g)$ for any f and g in H, and $F_\phi(\lambda f) = |\lambda|F_\phi(f)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, $F_\phi(f) = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.

Thus, F_ϕ is an $L(\mathbb{C})$-valued norm.

Let a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in H be a Cauchy sequence with respect to F_ϕ. Then, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$,

$$F_\phi(f_n - f_m) = \psi_{|f_n - f_m|} \leq \epsilon I_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{3.7}$$

where $I_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the identity operator on $\mathbb{C}$.

Since $\left\|\psi_{|f_n - f_m|}\right\| = \|f_n - f_m\|_H \leq \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$, $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in the space H. Thus, there is an element f in H and a natural number $N'(\epsilon)$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_H \leq \epsilon$ if $n \geq N'(\epsilon)$.

It follows that

$$\|F_\phi(f_n - f)\| = \left\|\psi_{|f_n - f|}\right\| = \|f_n - f\|_H \leq \epsilon,$$

if $n \geq N'(\epsilon)$. Therefore, the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to the function f in H with respect to F_ϕ, that is, H is complete with respect to F_ϕ.

□

We know that $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) = L^\infty$ is a Banach space, but it is not a Hilbert space. However, we prove that L^∞ is a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(L^2(\mathbb{T}))(= L(L^2))$-valued inner product.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\varphi : L^\infty \times L^\infty \to L(L^2)$ be a function defined by*

$$\varphi(f, g) = M_{f\overline{g}} \tag{3.8}$$

where $M_g : L^2 \to L^2$ is the multiplication operator defined by

$$M_g(f) = g \cdot f, \tag{3.9}$$

for $f \in L^2$. Then, φ is a bounded $L(L^2)$-valued inner product.

Proof. For α_1, α_2 in $\mathbb{C}$ and f_i, $g_i (i = 1, 2)$ in L^∞, clearly,

$$\varphi(\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2, g) = \alpha_1\varphi(f_1, g) + \alpha_2\varphi(f_2, g) \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\varphi(f, \alpha_1 g_1 + \alpha_2 g_2) = \overline{\alpha_1}\varphi(f, g_1) + \overline{\alpha_2}\varphi(f, g_2). \tag{3.11}$$

Since the operator $M_{|g|^2}$ is positive,

$$\varphi(g, g) \geq 0 \tag{3.12}$$

for any g in L^∞, and

$$\varphi(g,g) = 0 \text{ if and only if } g \equiv 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Since $M_{f\overline{g}} = M_{\overline{f}g}{}^*$,

$$\varphi(f,g) = \varphi(g,f)^*. \tag{3.14}$$

By (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), and (3.14), φ is an $L(L^2)$-valued inner product.

Since $\|\varphi(f,g)\| = \|M_{f\overline{g}}\| = \|f\overline{g}\|_\infty \le \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty = \|F(f)\| \, \|F(g)\|$, φ is bounded. In fact, $\|\varphi\|_{F_\varphi} = 1$

□

Theorem 3.8. *Let φ be the bounded $L(L^2)$-valued inner product defined in Proposition 3.7.*

Then, L^∞ is a Hilbert space with respect to φ.

Proof. To start proving that L^∞ is a Hilbert space with respect to φ, we need to show that a function $F_\varphi : L^\infty \to L(L^2)$ defined by the same way as equation (3.1) is an $L(L^2)$-valued norm.

Since $F_\varphi(f)^2 = M_{|f|^2}$, $F_\varphi(f) = M_{|f|} = m_f$ (Note equation (1.1)). Thus, F_φ is an $L(L^2)$-valued norm.

Let a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in L^∞ be a Cauchy sequence with respect to F_φ. Then, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that for all $n, m \ge N(\epsilon)$,

$$F_\varphi(f_n - f_m) = M_{|f_n - f_m|} \le \epsilon I_{L^2} \tag{3.15}$$

where I_{L^2} is the identity operator on L^2.

Since $\left\|M_{|f_n - f_m|}\right\| = \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty \le \epsilon$ for all $n, m \ge N(\epsilon)$, $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in the space L^∞. Thus, there is a function f in L^∞ and a natural number $N'(\epsilon)$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \le \epsilon$ if $n \ge N'(\epsilon)$.

It follows that

$$\|F_\varphi(f_n - f)\| = \left\|M_{|f_n - f|}\right\| = \|f_n - f\|_\infty \le \epsilon,$$

if $n \ge N'(\epsilon)$. Therefore, the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to the function f in L^∞ with respect to F_φ, that is, L^∞ is complete with respect to F_φ.

□

By the same proof of Theorem 3.8, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.9. *Let (Y, Ω, μ) be a σ-finite measure space and $L^p(Y, \Omega, \mu) = L^p(\mu)$ $(p = 2, \infty)$.*

Then, $L^\infty(\mu)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to a bounded $L(L^2(\mu))$-valued inner product $\varphi : Y \times Y \to L(L^2(\mu))$ defined by

$$\varphi(f,g) = M_{f\overline{g}} \tag{3.16}$$

where $M_g : L^2(\mu) \to L^2(\mu)$ is the multiplication operator defined by

$$M_g(f) = g \cdot f, \tag{3.17}$$

for $f \in L^2(\mu)$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let X be a Hilbert space with respect to an $L(H)$-valued inner product $\varphi : X \times X \to L(H)$ and $F : X \to L(H)$ be an operator-valued norm defined by equation (3.1).*

If $B(\subset X)$ is a (F-norm) closed subspace of X, then B is also a Hilbert space with respect to $\varphi|B \times B$.

Proof. It is clear. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let (Y, Ω, μ) be a σ-finite measure space and $L^2(Y, \Omega, \mu) = L^2(\mu)$.*

Then, $C(Y)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to $\varphi : Y \times Y \to L(L^2(\mu))$ defined by equation (3.16).

Proof. Since the operator-valued function $F_\varphi : L^\infty(\mu) \to L(L^2(\mu))$, defined by

$$F_\varphi(f) = [\varphi(f, f)]^{1/2} = M_{|f|},$$

is an operator-valued norm, by Corollary 3.9, and Proposition 3.10, it is enough to prove that $C(X)$ is a F_φ-norm closed subspace of $L^\infty(\mu)$.

Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in $C(X)$ such that $f_n \to f$ with respect to F_φ-norm. Thus, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer $N(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N(\epsilon)$,

$$\|F_\varphi(f_n - f)\| < \epsilon. \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\|F_\varphi(f_n - f)\| = \left\|M_{|f_n - f|}\right\| = \|f_n - f\|_\infty$, equation (3.18) implies that $f_n \to f$ with respect to the supremum norm. Since $(C(X), \| \ \|_\infty)$ is a Banach space, $f \in C(X)$ which proves this Theorem.

□

References

[1] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
[2] R.G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, N.Y., Springer, 1998.
[3] Yun-Su Kim, *Operator-Valued Norms*, preprint, 2007.
[4] W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^*-algebras*, Trans. Ame. Soc. 1973.

Yun-Su Kim, Department of Mathematics, University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio, 43606, USA